Gross Total Resection of Childhood Intracranial Ependymoma is a Critically Important Factor in Survival
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OBJECTIVE
This study aimed to evaluate the effect of prognostic factors and treatment on survival in childhood intracranial ependymoma.

METHODS
In the past two decades, 28 patients with pediatric intracranial ependymoma who received postoperative radiotherapy in our institute were evaluated statistically in terms of prognostic factors and survival. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Possible prognostic factors, such as sex, histopathological grade, the extent of resection, tumor location, spinal metastasis, and chemotherapy were also analyzed by log-rank test.

RESULTS
Median age at diagnosis was 4 years (range, 1-17 years). Eighteen patients had infratentorial tumor, and 19 patients had Grade III tumor. Gross total resections (GTRs) were performed in 16 patients. The median clinical follow-up time was 66.9 months (range, 8-253 months). The estimated 5-year PFS and OS rates are 38% and 55%, respectively. The extent of resection was found the only prognostic factor associated with improved PFS and OS. Other factors, such as gender, histopathological grade, tumor location, spinal metastasis, and chemotherapy, showed no statistically significant effect on survival outcomes.

CONCLUSION
A multidisciplinary approach is required in the management of pediatric intracranial ependymomas. GTR is a crucial prognostic factor on survival. The lack of aggressive salvage treatments may result in worse survival. Future trials are needed to investigate molecular classification and individualized treatment algorithms.
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Introduction
Ependymoma, the third most common pediatric central nervous system tumor following medulloblastoma and astrocytoma, originates from the walls of the ventricular system or the central canal of the spinal cord. [1,2] Almost 90% of pediatric ependymomas are intracranial in origin and two-thirds are diagnosed in the
posterior fossa.[3] Ependymomas represent approximately 10% of all childhood brain tumors and have a male-to-female ratio of 1.77:1.[4]

Based on the current WHO classification (2016), ependymal tumors can be classified as subependymoma (Grade I), myxopapillary ependymoma (Grade I), classic ependymoma (Grade II), anaplastic ependymoma (Grade III), and RELA-fusion-positive ependymoma (Grade II/III).[5] This classification scheme has limited clinical utility in predicting patients’ results, therefore a modern molecular system has been suggested that separated intracranial ependymomas into six distinct subgroups. Supratentorial ependymomas were divided into three groups, such as YAP1, RELA-fusion anaplastic ependymoma, and subependymoma. The three posterior fossa ependymoma (PF-EPN) subgroups were PF-EPN-A, PF-EPN-B, and PF-SE (subependymoma).[6]

A multidisciplinary approach is required in the management of pediatric intracranial ependymomas. Although surgery and post-operative radiotherapy (RT) are accepted standard of care for patients with non-disseminated ependymoma to reduce the risk of local recurrence, the role of chemotherapy in ependymoma remains unproven despite large clinical trials.[7] A post-operative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at no more than 3 days after surgery is indicated to detect extent of resection. A lumbar puncture performed at least 14 days postoperatively to exclude false-positive results, will determine spinal metastasis and guide management.[8] Craniospinal RT is required in the presence of spinal seeding metastasis.[9]

The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of prognostic factors and treatment on progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in childhood intracranial ependymoma.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was undertaken after approval from our institutional ethics committee. A total of 28 patients, 15 males and 13 females, who received post-operative RT due to pediatric intracranial ependymoma between 2000 and 2020, were analyzed in this study. Inclusion criteria were patient age younger than 18 at the time of diagnosis, patients with confirmed histopathological diagnosis of Grade II/III intracranial ependymoma, and patients with no previous history of brain irradiation. Grade I ependymomas, spinal ependymomas, patients with severe comorbid disease, and patients with incomplete medical charts were not included in the study.

We evaluated patients’ medical records, such as patient demographics, clinical procedures, localization of the tumor identified by neuroimaging, operation type, histopathologic results, and treatment modalities (i.e., RT and chemotherapy) applied postoperatively. The extent of surgical resection was determined based on the surgeon’s operative report and/or post-operative MRI as gross total resection (GTR) or subtotal resection (STR). Spinal MRI and lumbar puncture were done in the post-operative period to reveal the presence of spinal seeding metastasis.

Radiation therapy was applied to all patients. Craniospinal RT was administered to patients with spinal seeding metastasis. Patients received conformal RT or intensity-modulated RT with a linear accelerator device. During RT planning, gross tumor volume was created by cross-section drawing in contouring tomography through pre-operative and post-operative MRI. Clinical target volume (CTV) was created by giving 0.5-1 cm margin to tumor bed, and planning target volume (PTV) was created by giving 0.3-0.5 cm margin to CTV. During the planning, when critical levels of organs at risk volumes were exceeded, manual corrections of PTV volume up to 0.3 cm were made.

All patients were evaluated clinically and radiographically at routine follow-up intervals. Patients who did not visit hospital for follow-up were called through telephone at the data cutoff point to determine their final status. Progression was diagnosed by clinical assessment, neuroimaging, and pathology reports. PFS was defined as the duration between diagnosis and the first event of recurrence or tumor progression, and OS was defined as the duration between diagnosis and death or last known date of the patients’ survival.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS v23.0. Descriptive analyzes were used to classify patients. PFS and OS were determined using the Kaplan-Meier method. Possible prognostic factors, such as gender, histopathological grade, extent of resection, tumor location, spinal metastasis, and chemotherapy, were also analyzed. Log-rank test was used to identify predictors of survival. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Median age at diagnosis was 4 years (range, 1-17 years). Most of the patients (92.9%, n=26) were 3 years of
age or older, and 2 patients (7.1%) were younger than 3 years of age at the time of diagnosis. Ten of the patients included in the study had supratentorial, and 18 patients had posterior fossa located ependymoma. In our series 32.1% of patients had Grade II histology, and 67.9% of patients had Grade III histology.

Treatment parameters for patients are summarized in Table 2. All children with intracranial ependymoma underwent surgical resection of the primary tumor. GTR was achieved in 16 (57.1%), and STR in 12 (42.9%) patients. The median time from initial surgery to RT was 2.1 months (range, 1-35 months). Twenty-three patients received only cranial RT and five patients received craniospinal RT. All patients’ median cranial dose was 54 Gy (range, 45-60 Gy), and spinal dose of five patients who received craniospinal RT was 36 Gy. Daily fractionation was used with a median dose of 1.8 Gy (range, 1.6-2.0 Gy). Fourteen patients (50%) received chemotherapy either concurrently, adjuvantly, or both.

Eighteen (64.2%) patients suffered disease progression. The median time from diagnosis to progression was 46.7 months (range, 8-253 months). The 3 and 5-year PFS rates of patients were 66% and 38%, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that only the extent of resection was associated with improved PFS. The 5-year estimated PFS rates in GTR group and STR group were 57% and 16%, respectively (p=0.04). The PFS curves for GTR and STR patients are shown in Figure 1. After progression was detected, only four patients underwent re-surgery and only two patients were re-irradiated in our study.

After a median follow-up of 66.9 months (range, 8-253 months), 10 (35.7%) patients were alive with no evidence of disease; 2 (7.1%) were alive with disease; and 16 (57.2%) were dead. The 3 and 5-year OS rates of patients were 88% and 55%, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that only the extent of resection was associated with improved OS. The 5-year estimated OS rates in GTR group and STR group were 78% and 27%, respectively (p=0.02). The OS curves for GTR and STR patients are shown in Figure 2.

Other factors, such as gender, histopathological grade, tumor location, spinal metastasis, and chemotherapy, showed no significant effect on PFS and OS outcomes. The results of statistical analysis of prognostic factors are summarized in Table 3. No serious acute or late radiation complication was reported in the study patients.

### Discussion

Management of childhood intracranial ependymomas requires multidisciplinary treatment approaches, and maximum surgical resection followed by RT is accepted as the current treatment standard. This recommendation is based on historical studies showing better survival results in children receiving post-operative RT compared to surgery alone, and in GTR group compared to STR group.[10-12] In our study, 28 pediatric intracranial ependymoma cases treated in line with these suggestions were retrospectively evaluated.
At present, it is estimated that complete resection is achieved in 70-90% of supratentorial ependymomas, but complete resection is less frequently possible in patients with infratentorial ependymomas which often are located close to brainstem structures. Several studies confirmed the crucial role of a GTR in patients with newly diagnosed ependymomas.[13,14] However, the studies of Mansur et al. and Paulino et al.[15,16] did not find such a significant difference between total tumor removal and better survival.

Five-year PFS rates range from 50% to 70% after GTR and from zero to 30% after STR.[11,17] Similar to these reports, 5-year PFS rate was found as 57% in the GTR group, and 16% in the STR group, in our study (p=0.04). Five-year OS rates range from 80% to 90% after GTR and from 50% to 60% after STR.[14,18] In our study, 5-year OS rate was found as 78% in the GTR group, and 27% in the STR group. Aggressive salvage local treatments for patients with residual disease can result in good OS. In the AIEOP study, 5 of the 17 patients with residual disease underwent re-surgery for potentially resectable tumor after chemotherapy and none of these operations were followed by persistent morbidity.[18] Similarly, Merchant et al.[14] have had some benefit with re-surgery and the second course of irradiation in selected patients. As local salvage therapy, only four patients underwent re-surgery and only two patients were re-irradiated in our study. The lack of aggressive salvage treatments may have caused our 5-year OS rate in the STR group to remain lower than these studies. As a result of our study, it can be suggested that salvage treatments such as second-look surgery and re-irradiation should be improved in our institute.

The role of standard histologic classification in prognosis has been controversial. The second prospective AIEOP study which was stratified patients to histopathologic grade and extent of resection reported that higher 5-year PFS and OS rates among Grade II tumors (75.3% and 90.5%), compared with Grade III tumors (57.0% and 73.3%) (p=0.018 in PFS and p=0.031 in OS).[19] However, Agaoglu et al.[20] did not show any significant difference in OS or PFS between the two histologic subtypes. Similarly, distinct histological grades demonstrated no statistically significant differences in PFS and OS rates in our study. This finding may have occurred due to the insufficient number of patients.

As a result of advances in genomic, transcriptomic and epigenomic profiling, different molecular subtypes have been determined even for ependymomas of similar histology. Molecular subtypes have unique clinical characteristics and provide insights into individual treatment.[21] ST-EPN-RELA, which accounts for more than 70% of supratentorial ependymomas, occurs generally in children and young adults, and is associated with a poor prognosis. Conversely, ST-EPN-
YAP1 tumor is only seen in very young children and has a better prognosis.[22] The most common and aggressive subgroup, posterior fossa ependymoma group A (PF-EPN-A), appears in young children. In contrast, posterior fossa ependymoma Group B (PF-EPN-B) are seen in older children and has favorable clinical results. [23] Since the tests required for molecular subtyping could not be done in our institute, analyzes involving molecular subtypes were not performed in our study. Considering that individualized treatments will come to the fore in the future, molecular subtyping should be made available in cancer treatment centers.

Several studies have related that patients with supratentorial ependymomas have indicated better prognosis compared with patients with infratentorial location.[24,25] However, our results and others have failed to demonstrate a significant difference between location of tumor and survival.[14,26] Similar to our study, Paulino et al. and Tashvighi et al.[16,27] did not find such a significant difference between gender and survival. Conversely, Merchant et al.[14] found worse PFS in male patients (p=0.04).

In our study, 28 patients with pediatric intracranial ependymoma retrospectively evaluated and 5-year PFS and OS rates were found 38% and 55%, respectively. Marinoff et al.[28] retrospectively evaluated 103 patients with median follow-up time of 11 years. They reported that 5-year PFS and OS rates were 39% and 67% and 10-year PFS and OS rates were 29% and 50%, respectively. They concluded that current management is not satisfactory to maintain long-term control of pediatric intracranial ependymoma, and novel treatment strategies are required.

The common approach is to deliver 50.4-59.4 Gy to the tumor bed with a margin. Local control rates are superior in patients treated with high dose RT, and current strategies propose 59.4 Gy for the volume at highest risk for local tumor recurrence.[4] The second prospective AIEOP study evaluated that patients with residual tumor received chemotherapy, second-look surgery, and 59.4 Gy RT followed by an 8 Gy boost in two fractions. They reported that this management tended to improve the prognosis of patients with residual tumors.[19] Correspondingly, the current SIOP-EP-II trial appraises the effect of a hypofractionated boost, 8 Gy in two fractions, to quantitative residual disease after tumor bed RT in an attempt to improve local control in this subgroup of children with a poorer prognosis.[29]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Prognostic factors for PFS and OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supratentorial</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infratentorial</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathological grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of resection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PFS: Progression-free survival; OS: Overall survival; STR: Subtotal resection; GTR: Gross total resection
the use of chemotherapy and second surgery before RT. However, the effect of chemotherapy on survival was not demonstrated in the ACNS0121 trial.[30] The COG trial (ACNS0831, NCT01096368) and the SIOP trial (EP-II, NCT02265770) were launched to shed light on the usefulness of adjuvant chemotherapy.[29,31] The ACNS0831 trial is primarily evaluating PFS and OS in children between 1 and 20 years of age with non-metastatic newly diagnosed ependymoma treated with local RT alone versus local RT followed by four cycles of adjuvant combination chemotherapy with cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, and vincristine.[31] The SIOP-EP-II trial is primarily assessing GTR rate, PFS and number of treatment responders. In the SIOP-EP-II trial, patients with no evidence of residual disease are randomly distributed to receive 16 weeks of multiagent chemotherapy or observation after RT, while patients with residual disease receive pre-RT conventional chemotherapy with or without methotrexate and post-RT conventional chemotherapy.[29]

**Conclusion**

Post-operative RT is an efficient treatment for childhood intracranial ependymoma. GTR is essential for longer PFS and OS among pediatric patients diagnosed with intracranial ependymoma. Better management will undoubtedly depend on classification according to the molecular biology of the tumor and tailoring the treatment to the individual. Future ependymoma trials should consider molecular classification when determining treatment indications and patient management.
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