



Use of miRNA as a Biomarker in Prostate Cancer and New Approaches

Elif Sibel ASLAN,¹ Sefa ÇETİNKAYA²

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Biruni University, İstanbul-Turkey

²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Biruni University, İstanbul-Turkey

SUMMARY

Prostate cancer has been found to be the most life-threatening disease among men all over the world, given its wide occurrence and partially-successful therapies associated with a high rate of mortality. Thus, meticulous analysis of this cancer and its characteristics, including molecular biology, epigenetic mechanisms and markers during tumor development, may provide the scientists with valuable insights to design the therapeutic protocol with improved efficiency and low rate of failure and limited further side effects, such as infertility. Moreover, the risk associated with the current invasive procedures on prostate cancer patients has prompted researchers to invest effort in the discovery of being less-invasive and more advantageous procedures based on the patient's own physiological and anatomical characteristics. This paper reviews past and present studies on epigenetics and molecular markers of prostate cancer, as well as the designed therapies. Additionally, we present a future vision and prospect of the current treatments.

Keywords: CRISPR-Cas; epigenetic mechanisms; miRNA; prostate cancer.

Copyright © 2020, Turkish Society for Radiation Oncology

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC), unlike many other cancers, such as lung cancer, has been posing a huge threat to men due to lack of its connection with carcinogenic chemicals and heterogeneity of prostate glands which has made it rather difficult to analyze the sole cause of cancer and eventually efficient precaution that arises from constraint causative factors.[1] PC has been in existence since ancient times; hence, the development of prostatectomy, a surgical means for PC treatment, has been achieved for more than a century.[2] PC is described as a heterogeneous type of cancer that exhibits various forms, from the slow-growing tumors to fast-growing tumors, which gradually turns out to be fatal. Years of studies and analysis have provided

a rather more convenient way for the diagnosis of PC. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) blood test is performed to determine the presence of kallikrein-related serine protease, the enzyme which is normally found in prostate secretion, but found in the bloodstream due to functional and histological anomaly of the prostate, and helps to diagnose PC in early stages for further treatment.[3]

Human serine proteases are members of human tissue kallikrein (KLK) family, which contain 15 homologues serine proteases (KLK1-KLK15). This family is encoded by the largest clustered human genome located on chromosome 19 [4], out of which KLK2 and KLK3 are found only in prostate and KLK3 with 33-kDa weight are considered as the biomarker (PSA) in PC screening.[4,5] Discovery of PSA dates back to 1979 when it was found in prostatic tissues.[6] Apart

Received: December 23, 2019

Accepted: December 26, 2019

Online: January 08, 2020

Accessible online at:

www.onkder.org

OPEN ACCESS This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



Dr. Elif Sibel ASLAN

Biruni Üniversitesi,

Moleküler Biyoloji ve Genetik Bölümü,

İstanbul-Turkey

E-mail: draslanelif@gmail.com

from contributing to cancer diagnosis, KLK3 is the main modulator of sperm status in terms of semen liquefaction and coagulation.[7] Genetic polymorphism of KLK3 has also been shown to contribute to the high risk of male infertility as a degrading factor to semenogelin.[8] However, KLK3 activation and degradation are controlled by the KLK14 function, which was detected by analysis of KLK3 fragments in vitro using recombinant KLK14.[9]

The cancer is initiated and developed in the prostate gland, part of the male reproductive system responsible for semen production in the male body and located inferior to the bladder.[10] Approximately 98% of the PCs are reported to be of glandular origin.[11] This organ is made of epithelial cell clusters arranged in the form of the basal layer and contains stem cells, transit-amplifying cells (TACs), and committed basal (CB) cells as the most dominant cell population in this structure.[12] Based on histological analysis of prostate organ, stem cells undergo division to form TA cells, which further divide by mitosis and differentiate into CB cells, the only cell type in prostate capable of DNA synthesis and proliferation, which are finally transformed into differentiating luminal cells in human.[13] PC was observed with a lack of or disruptive basal layer along with the disruption of the above-mentioned cell number present in the prostate gland.[9] Such an irregular growth of stem cells was first noticed in 1989.[13]

Stem cells characterization, collected by biopsy of patients, were carried out using CD44⁺ α _v β 1hi CD133⁺ markers as the phenotype, which unfortunately was not specific for only cancer stem cells (CSCs).[14] Additionally, PAP (prostatic acid phosphate) was found to not be fully special PC diagnosis since PAP was found to be elevated in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and other organ's malfunctions, such as the liver.[15]

This paper reviews past and present studies on epigenetics and molecular markers of PC, as well as the designed therapies. Additionally, we present a future vision and prospect of the current treatments.

miRNA and DNA Methylation in PC

Studies on cancer show that epigenetic mechanisms are effective above cancer prognosis. miRNAs have been demonstrated that play a role in epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation and histone deacetylation (HDAC).[16,17]

There are regions called CpG islands, which are located there high rate repetition cytosine and guanine base pairs in the human genome. CpG islands mostly take part in promotor regions of a gene. In these re-

gions, methylation occurs when attaching methyl (-CH₃) to cytosine's fifth carbon. Abnormal situations, such as hypomethylation and hypermethylation, cause fall into decay cell functions, such as cell differentiation, cell division, and cell proliferation.[18,19]

Recent studies related to miRNA show that miRNA genes are situated near to CpG islands. In conclusion, methylation of the CpG islands will affect the expression of miRNAs.[20-22]

We can denote miRNA-152 as a sample to relationship miRNA and DNA methylation. In normal conditions, miRNA-152 prevent the expression of DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) by targeting 3' UTR region of the DNMT1 gene. When DNMT1 expression and DNA methylation are lower, the gene is an expression. Studies with cell lines of PC show that methylation depending on increase DNMT1 expression down-regulate miRNA-152. Thus, the gene expression that serves a function in cell differentiation, cell division, and cell proliferation is prevented.[23,24]

miRNA and Histone Deacetylation in PC

miRNA and HDAC relationship is affecting the regulatory roles of epigenetic mechanism.[25] Change of electrostatic force in histone tails affects their binding to DNA. To illustrate the positive charge of lysine, amino acid in the amino tail is neutralized with acetylation of histone protein. Consequently, region binding to DNA of the histone protein is free, and the region is clarified to transcription factors, which causes expression in the region.[26] Two enzyme family function in here. One of them is acetyltransferase (HAT). The other is a histone deacetylase (HDAC). While HAT enzymes are acetylating the region, HDAC enzymes deacetylate the same region. This reversible situation identifies whether it will be an expression of the region. The breakdown of equilibrium between two enzymes brings about the malignity of the cell.[27] Table 1 shows HDAC classification.[28]

We can denote miRNA-449a as a sample to relationship miRNA and HDAC. In normal conditions, when expression miRNA-449, its down-regulate HDAC1, which brings on activation of p27/kip1 transcription, which causes cell proliferation. Studies with cell lines of PC show that down-regulation of miRNA-449a cause to up-regulation of HDAC1. Because of the HDCA1, up-regulation activation of p27/kip1 transcription causes cell proliferation out of control.[29]

Genetic Propensity and Markers of PC

Various genome-wide association studies (GWASs) implicate single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

Table 1 HDAC classification [28]

Group	Class	Name	Cellular localization	Localization in body
Classic (Zinc-dependent)	Class I	HDAC1	Nucleus	Everywhere
		HDAC2		
		HDAC3		
		HDAC8		
	Class II	HDAC4	Nucleus/Cytoplasma	Tissue-specific
		HDAC5		
		HDAC7		
		HDAC9		
	Class IIb	HDAC6	Cytoplasma	Tissue-specific
		HDAC10		
NAD-dependent	Class IV	HDAC11	Nucleus/Cytoplasma	Tissue-specific
	Class III	SIRT(I-7)	Nucleus/Cytoplasma	

NAD: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; SIRT: Sirtuin

Table 2 Single nucleotide polymorphism region with PC [31]

Chromosomal region	Alternative alleles	Associated allele
17q12	T, C	T
17q12	G, A	G
17q12	A, C	C
17q24.3	G, T	G
17q24.3	C, T	T
17q24.3	A, G	A
17q24.3	A, G	A
8q24 (region 1)	C, A	A
8q24 (region 1)	G, A	A
8q24 (region 1)	A, C	C
8q24 (region 1)	C, T	T
8q24 (region 1)	G, T	T
8q24 (region 2)	A, C	C
8q24 (region 2)	C, A	A
8q24 (region 3)	G, T	G
8q24 (region 3)	C, T	T

to be responsible for PC initiation.[30,31] (Table 2). Although previous studies showed specific mutations on chromosome 15 and 19 to be responsible for aggressive PC [32,33], another genome analysis study has reported some genes with a mutation in PC (Table 3). Unlike most of the cancer types, various analyses indicate no specific oncogene connected to PC [68] although some oncogene exhibit overexpression in some cancers observed during anti-androgen therapy. C-ERB-B2 oncogene, located on chromosome 17, appears to be elevated in hormone-refractory PC in response to anti-androgen therapy.[69] Moreover, EZH2

mRNA has shown a significant peak due to EZH2 protein encoded by EZH2 oncogene, which acts as a repressor of transcription and causes cell proliferation in PC.[70] Additionally, retinoblastoma (RB) proteins seem to be reduced in malignant PC, which caused tumor cell proliferation.[71]

P53, also known as TP53, which protects against tumorigenesis, is observed to be increased in malignant and untreated PC, rather than primary or treated ones. [72] Some studies have tested wild type (WT) P53 with mutated P53 using DU145 cells (human prostate cancer cell lines) in response to chemotherapeutic drugs and observed that P53 increased tumor cells' susceptibility to drugs which could indicate high survival rate of prostatic cancer cells during chemotherapies when P53 is silenced or non-functional.[73] On the account of tumour suppressor genes being silenced resulting in PC cell's resistance, the other factor, over activation of androgen receptor (AR), plays significant role in development of castrate-resistant prostate cancer [74] due to hypermethylation of CpG islands (sequence of 5'-CG-3') [75] on AR genes and proven to be not related to androgen level which was observed during anti-androgen therapy in PC patients.[76,77]

miRNAs as Biomarkers in PC

microRNAs, also known as miRNAs, are small, non-coding endogenous RNA molecules that are found in eukaryotes, as well as some viruses. They have been found to play a key role in RNA regulations and diagnosis of various diseases on account of their circulation in body fluids.[78] Recent studies on miRNA transcripts have demonstrated their significance as

Table 3 Common genetic aberrations in PC

Gene	Genomic alterations	Chromosomal region
APC	Deletion	5q22.2 [33]
AR	Amplification/mutations/splicing variants	Xq12 [34,35]
ATM	Deletion/mutation	11q22.3 [36,37]
BRCA1	Deletion/mutation	17q21.31 [38]
BRCA2	Deletion/mutation	13q13.1 [39]
CHD1	Deletion	5q21.1 [40,41,42]
ERF	Deletion/mutation	19q13.2 [43,44]
ERG	Fusion/deletion	21q22.2 [45]
ETS2	Deletion	21q22.2 [33]
ETVs	Fusion/deletion	NA [46]
EZH2	Mutation	14q21.1 [47]
FOXA1	Mutation	14q21.1 [40,48]
IDH1	Mutation	2q34 [49]
KMT2A (MLL1)	Mutation/deletion	11q23.3 [50]
KMT2C (MLL3)	Mutation/deletion	7q36.1 [39]
KMT2D (MLL2)	Mutation/deletion	12q13.12 [51]
KDM1A (LSD1 [lysine-specific demethylase 1])	Mutation/deletion	1p36.12 [52]
KDM3A (JMJD1A)	Mutation/deletion	2p11.2, Xp11.3 [53]
KDM6A (UTX)		
MYCN	Amplification	2p24.3 [54,55,56]
NCOR1	Deletion/mutation	17p11.2 [57]
NCOR2	Deletion/mutation	12q24.31 [58]
NKX3-1	Deletion	8p21.2 [59,60]
PTEN	Deletion/mutation	10q23.31 [33,40,61]
RB1	Deletion/mutation	13q14.2 [51,62]
SMAD4	Deletion/mutation	18q21.2 [63,64]
SPOP	Mutation	17q21.33 [40,65,66]
TP53	Deletion/mutation	17p13.1 [40,51,67]

PC: Prostate cancer; APC: Adenomatous polyposis coli; AR: Androgen receptor; ATM: ATM serine/threonine kinase; BRCA1: Breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein; BRCA2: Breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein; CADH1 E-Cadherin; CHD1: Chromodomain Helicase DNA Binding Protein 1; ERF: erythroblast transformation-specific 2 (ETS2) repressor factor; ERG: ETS-related gene; ETV1: ETS variant transcription factor 1; EZH2: Enhancer of zeste homolog 2; FOXA1: forkhead boxA1; IDH1: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; IDH1: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; KMT2A (MLL1): Lysine (K)-Specific Methyltransferase 2A; KMT2C (MLL3): Lysine (K)-Specific Methyltransferase 2C; KMT2D(MLL2): Lysine (K)-Specific Methyltransferase 2D; KDM1A (LSD1): lysine (K)-specific demethylase 1A; KDM3A (JMJD1A): lysine (K)-specific demethylase 3A; KDM6A (UTX): lysine (K)-specific demethylase 6A; MYCN: N-mycproto-oncogene protein; NCOR1: Nuclear receptor corepressor 1; NCOR2: Nuclear receptor corepressor 2; NKX3-1: Homeobox protein Nkx-3.1; PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog; RB1: Retinoblastoma1; SMAD4: SMAD family member 4; SPOP: Speckle-type POZ protein; TP53: tumor protein p53

PC biomarkers. MicroRNA-21, also known as miR-21, mammalian miRNA encoded by the MIR21 gene located on chromosome 17, has been observed to suppress PTEN (tumor suppressor gene) in various cancers, such as colorectal and breast cancer, [79,80] but no indication of its direct effects on PC. Yang et al. conducted an experiment on the effects of miR-21 on PC cells using PC-3 cell lines, transfecting the cells with miR-21. They observed that in the presence of matured miR-21, which is generally conserved in mammalian cells, PC cells evade immune defence due to suppression of PTEN by miR-21, which results in cell growth and proliferation and eventually metastasis.[81] Based on the above-mentioned anal-

ysis, miR-21 has been introduced as a PC biomarker, which needs further studies and possible epigenetic influence on cancer cells.

Up-regulation of miR-21 has been found to be correlated with down-regulation of miR-15 and miR-16 as well as overexpression of TGF- β signalling due to suppression of SMAD7 (Mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 7 leads to degradation of TGF- β and inhibits hedgehog signalling), which leads to AR hyper-activation result in the bone lesion in PC.[82] Moreover, several studies showed the functional influence of miR-221 and miR-222 on tumorigenesis and cancer progression in various cancers, including PC.[83-86] In-vivo and in-vitro studies of PC3 cells

have shown that reduction of cancer cell proliferation occurs when PC cells are induced with miR-221 and miR-222 inhibitors, which implicates miR-221/222 increasing expression in PC and its metastasis.[87]

Other miRNAs expressed rather significant volume changes in response to tumorigenesis. To demonstrate, miR-152-3p in LNCaP and PC3 were analyzed *in vitro*. The result indicated a low miR-152-3p level of tumour cells compared to healthy control cells. However, the same analysis experimented with the effects of high-level miR-152-3p mimic on tumour cells, which resulted in a great deal of proliferation and metastasis presenting external effects of the above-mentioned miRNA on tumorigenesis.[88] In addition to miRNA's direct effect on tumour progression, their function harbours inactivation or reduction of tumour suppressors, such as tetraspanin, particularly tetraspanin CD4, which is found to suppress tumor progression and metastasis. In-vitro studies of miR-518f-5p on PC3 cells proved their down-regulating effects on CD4 leading to cancer progression and metastasis.[89] Table 4 shows that the role of miRNAs in PC.

In PC cancer patients, cfDNA (cell-free DNA) is released from apoptotic cells as nucleosomes from both healthy and diseased tissue that includes tumor cells, as well as microbial nucleic acids from systemic

infections.[107] The regional chromosomal ploidy heterogeneity detected in cfDNA is an early indicator of progressive genomic instability. The following result indicated that cfDNA in serum could be used as a remarkable biomarker to distinguish PC from benign prostatic hypertrophy and prostatitis.[108]

Prostate Cancer Therapy

Over the past few decades, PC has attracted the attention of researchers working in this area considering its mortality rate in men, specifically in developed countries. Therefore, efforts have increased towards an efficient means of therapy.[109,110]

Apoptosis as a Cancer Therapy (Apoptosis as Therapeutic means in PC?)

One of the major observations on cancer cells is their evasion from apoptosis. Studies demonstrate the presence of Fas and FasL (Fas ligand) mediating apoptosis in PC cells. However, resistance to such apoptosis was observed.[109,110] Various in-vitro studies on PC cells show an increase in FADD (Fas-associated protein with death domain) and eventual apoptosis using 5-Azacitine combined with BCLT (bicalutamide) to guide the cancer cells to death path and block further progress and metastasis.[76]

Table 4 The role of miRNAs in PC

miRNA	Roles in pathogenesis	Type
miR-145 [90,91]	Apoptosis, Metastasis	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
Let7c [92]	Apoptosis, cell proliferation, cell cycle	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
miR-125b [93,94] (p53 and PUMA targeted by miR-125b)	Apoptosis, tumor stage and perineural invasion status	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
miR-221/222 [92] (3' UTR of ARH1 targeted by miR-222)	Metastasis, cell cycle	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
miR-21 [95]	Invasion, metastasis, apoptosis	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
miR-106a (cluster resides at Xq26.2 chromosomal localization) [92]	Cell cycle	Dysregulation tumor suppressor
miR-27a (cluster resides at chromosome 19) [96]	Cell proliferation	Upregulations result in the downregulation of prohibitin
miR-34c (localized at chromosome 11q23) [97,98,99]	Metastasis	Dysregulated tumor suppressor
miR-205 [100]		Downregulation
miR-29 [101]	targets DNA methyl transferases either directly or indirectly	Silenced tumor suppressor genes
miR-221 [102]	Tumor progression and metastasis	Downregulation
miR-203 [103]	metastasis	Regulates the expression of prometastatic genes
miR-375, miR-9, miR-141, miR-200b, miR-516a-39 [104]	Potential roles in prognosis?	Potential roles in prognosis?
miR-26a, miR-195, let7 [105,106]	Potential roles in prognosis?	Potential roles in prognosis?

PC: Prostate cancer

Genetic Material into a Target Cell as Cancer Therapy

Gene therapy, defined as the introduction of genetic material into a target cell for therapeutic benefit, is a very promising treatment for many diseases, including cancer. To date, more than 2000 clinical trials employing gene transfer have taken place, and in general, many vehicles or vectors have been established as safe. [111,112] Various techniques and approaches are employed to target the altered genes in PC, such as using siRNA (small interfering RNA), antisense oligonucleotides and plasmid DNA, along with chemotherapy to achieve PC apoptosis and overcome multidrug resistance conditions that arise from various drug-therapies. [113,114]

Re-activation of Tumor Growth as a Cancer Therapy

In prostate tumours, re-activation of tumor growth has been observed in receptor-dependent androgen state, which may induce apoptosis of these cells by pro-apoptotic over-expressing tumor necrosis factor (TNF). [115] At the same time silence anti-apoptotic c-FLIP, allowing the development of a pro-apoptotic therapy which acts downstream and independently of androgen receptor (AR) signalling. [115] Analysis of PC cells has shown that the cells that express resistance towards castration-therapy also express a lower degree of polyphosphate-4-phosphatase type II (INPP4B), whose function includes potential tumor suppressor and a cascade activation which results in the negative control of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway leading to inhibition of cell malignant transformation. To prove the following findings, the PC cell line was transfected with the INPP4B gene, which evidently demonstrated a significant reduction in cancer cell viability and in a decrease in pAKT levels that down-regulates the PI3K pathway. [116] In addition to previous findings, some research experimented effects of an RNA-aptamer by transferring it into LNCaP and PC-3 and observed expression of genes that are observed phenotypically in normal prostate cells leading PC cells towards the apoptosis path and anti-proliferation mechanism and an eventually positive prognosis. [117]

Gene Editing and Activation Tumor suppressor Genes with Silencing RNA

Short interfering or silencing RNA (siRNA) was found to enhance the gene editing and activation of tumor suppressor genes and suppression of anti-apoptotic proteins in PC therapy. In a study, they transfected PC cell lines with siRNA molecules, treated with atecol-

lagen, which facilitates the absorption of siRNA into tumor cells. The aim of this experiment was to reduce expression anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-xL, which is over expressed in PC both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. [118] Moreover, viruses have been found to express a rather efficient tool as vectors in PC therapy for the purpose of gene editing. For instance, baculoviruses are tested to deliver genes in a variety of tissues and cells, including benign prostate and PC cells line. Moreover, they can introduce therapeutic genes into dividing or quiescent cells, indicating that it might also act in tumor stem cells of PC. [119]

Adenoviral Vector- mediated Gene Therapy

Some other studies observed the effects of adenoviral vector- mediated gene therapy followed by radiotherapy, which was applied to 178-2 BMA and TSUPr1 cells *in vitro* to observe the colony formation of cells and their apoptosis process which led to tumor control with higher efficiency. [120]

Arafat et al. experimented the same combined (adenovirus and radiotherapy) or sole effect of using other types of adenoviruses as a vector (TRA-8 or Ad TRAIL (adenoviral encoding TRAIL)) in Human PC cell lines (LNCaP, PC-3 and DU-145) *in vitro* and colony-forming assay and RT-PCR were employed to determine cells' growth and genetic changes. In addition to *in vitro* studies, *in vivo* study using murine injected subcutaneously with PC cells was carried out which presented higher efficiency and lower cancer cell survival in combination therapy (vector combined with radiotherapy) rather than single treatment only Evaluated by elevation of BAX protein (responsible for apoptosis) and eventually apoptosis of cancer cells. [121]

miRNAs as Therapeutic Means in PC

As previously discussed, miRNAs play a vital role in PC recognition and diagnosis. However, their function is far more than just a biomarker. Various studies analyzed different miRNAs' effects in the treatment of PC *in-vitro*, *in vivo* and *in silico*. [122] The function of mature miRNA is achieved by seed sequence (short sequence located upstream of 5' end of miRNA) in miRNA, which binds to the complementary motif in UTR (untranslated region) of targeted mRNA. For miRNA to be a target of therapy, they must present adequate stability inside and outside the cells, as well as show pharmacokinetic factors which would be appropriate to cell and environmental condition *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Different approaches have been designed and considered to use miRNA in PC therapy by either

using complementary oligonucleotides targeting and preventing the function of specific miRNA [123,124] or transfecting PC cells with multiple miRNA-specific complementary sequences harboured in vector.[125]

ASOs (antisense oligonucleotides) via liposomal complexes and SMIR (small molecule inhibitors of miRNAs), which are designed based on the function of other molecules used for other disease therapies. They are used to target miRNAs. miRNAs have the ability to inhibit function other miRNAs that express the same seed sequence, which is not seen with ASOs and is considered as an advantage of using miRNAs over antisense oligonucleotides. The SMIR approach aims to find compounds that bind and subsequently decrease the levels of mature miRNAs; however, such compounds can principally function to prevent transcription.[126]

Scientists designed other types of miRNA, known as miRNA sponge, which consists of numbers of binding sites (4-10) containing antisense or mismatched nucleotides, which will result in cleavage by argonaute RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) when completely base-paired. The efficacy of miRNA sponge lies in two factors as follows: the binding site affinity and the ratio of miRNA sponge concentration to the ratio of mRNA targets.[126] PC cells were transfected with sponge miRNAs using plasmid *in vitro* and viral vector *in vivo* and a reporter gene for monitoring the functional changes and their actions on target miRNAs.[127] Some groups tested various sponge miRNAs and found that most of them, specifically pseudogenes, function as ceRNAs. They compete with target mRNAs for miRNA binding, making them endogenous sponges. The Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) pseudogene was the first demonstrated example, but since then, other pseudogenes and long non-coding RNAs have been shown to be valid ceRNAs.[128,129] However, various pseudogenes demonstrated particularly different functions in cancer cells. For instance, NANOGP8 pseudogene is responsible for malignancy and metastasis and PC, and research studies indicate that the knock-out or deactivation of this pseudogene can benefit from the positive prognosis of PC therapy greatly.[130]

Studies have reported miR-185 as a tumor suppressor factor, was observed to be down-regulated in PC. Therefore, studies have been carried out to present miR-185 as a therapeutic mean for PA. miR-185, used along with bromodomain 8 isoform 2 (BRD8 ISO2) on PC3 and LNCaP, inhibited expression of androgen receptors (AR) directly by binding to the 3'-UTR region of AR mRNA.[131] Among several known miRNAs,

the let-7 family is proved to play a significant role in PC progress by regulating CSCs. BR-DIM upregulates the expression of the let-7 family, consequently down-regulating the expression of EZH2 both in PC cell lines and human PC cells in the tissue. These results suggest that BR-DIM could serve as a novel agent for the inhibition of PC progression and recurrence.[132]

In addition to miRNA therapy, immunotherapy has expressed quite impressive results in cancer therapy that include vaccine therapy, which was administered in 2012 using the DNA fusion vaccine in PC cases. The designed vaccine consisted of the domain (DOM) from fragment C of tetanus toxin linked to an HLA-A2-binding epitope from prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) and induced DOM-specific CD4 and PSMA-specific CD8 T cells, generating anti-PSMA responses in most of the individuals suffering from PC.[133] 3D cell culture, as an *in vitro* condition, was proposed to be beneficiary in PA. In 2015, scientists designed a 3D cell culture model of PC bone metastasis in which collagen-based scaffolds were employed for a more efficient physiological microenvironment and helped to deliver siRNA to PC cells (PC3), which result in the alternative for PC bone metastases treatment. [134] Other researchers used aptamers along with 3D cultures as an efficient therapeutic way for PC. In 2016, scientists used eight aptamers with 3D cell culture for PC therapy.[117,135]

Studies about preventing activation of HDAC enzymes with HDAC inhibitors are carried out. HDAC inhibitors precluding activation of the HDAC enzyme may change gene expression. Recent cancer studies showed that inhibition of HDAC enzymes activate inactive G2 checkpoint starts apoptosis. Cell stimulated for apoptosis is stopped in G1 and G2 phases. Thus, cell proliferation is blocked.[136-140]

miRNA expression has an important role in HDAC enzyme functions. Studies showed that with HDAC inhibitors affected the miRNA expression levels.(Table 5).[141]

Current Studies in PC: CRISPR-Cas System (CRISPR-Cas as Therapeutic Means in PC)

CRISPR-Cas is a system that prokaryotes (84% archaea and 45% bacteria) ensure gaining adaptive immunity against viruses.[145] This system is composed of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and cas gene that consist of similar sequence to gene families many nuclease and helicase.[146]

The system works via RNA. Therefore, this system is called an immune system via RNA.[147] When bacte-

Table 5 miRNA expression affecting from HDACi and their mechanisms

HDACi	Cell Type	MiRNA expression	Mechanism
MGCD0103 (0.5, 1, 2.5 IM)	Prostate cancer (Panc1)	miRNA-141, 203, 200a, 200b, 200c (up regulation)	H3 ve H4 acetylation H3, H4 H3K9 acetylation and H3K4me3 methylation (upregulation) [142]
TSA (300 nM); 5-azaCdR (1IM)	Prostate cancer (PC-3, LNCaP, DU145, 22Rv1, LAPC-4, VCa)	miRNA-9-5p, 9-3p, 27a, 32, 33a, 34a, 132, 149, 183, 188, 192, 193b, 194, 203, 215, 218, 370, 375, 376a, 449a, 487b, 512-3p, 512-5p, 513a, 515-5p, 517a, 517b, 517c, 518b, 520f, 526a, 572, 601, 629, 630, 638, 663, 765	CpG island methylation [143]
TSA (0.3 IM); Genistein (25 IM); 5-aza (5 IM)	Prostate cancer (PC3)	MIRNA-145	Not reported [144]

HDACi: Histone deacetylase inhibitor

Table 6 Studies regarding PC benefited from the system of the CRISPR-Cas

Title of the Paper	Method
N-Myc promotes therapeutic resistance development of neuroendocrine prostate cancer by differentially regulating miR-421/ATM pathway [151]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
CRISPR/Cas9 offers a new tool for studying the role of chromatin architecture in disease pathogenesis [152]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to base editing.
Generation of PTEN knockout (-/-) murine prostate cancer cells using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and comprehensive gene expression profiling. [153]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
FOXA1 knock-out via CRISPR/Cas9 altered Casp-9, Bax, CCND1, CDK4, and fibronectin expressions in LNCaP cells [154]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
A Somatically Acquired Enhancer of the Androgen Receptor Is a Noncoding Driver in Advanced Prostate Cancer. [155]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to create insertions and deletions
Organelle-Derived Acetyl-CoA Promotes Prostate Cancer Cell Survival, Migration, and Metastasis via Activation of Calmodulin Kinase II [156]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to create deletions.
Interleukin-30/IL27p28 Shapes Prostate Cancer Stem-like Cell Behavior and Is Critical for Tumor Onset and Metastasis [157]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
Knocking-out of HIF1 α gene by CRISPR/cas9 inhibits proliferation and invasiveness of prostate cancer DU145 cells [158]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of the androgen receptor suppresses the growth of LNCaP human prostate cancer cells [159]	Androgen genes were targeted in androgen-positive prostate cancer cells with CRISPR-Cas9 system.
Store-operated calcium entry is dispensable for the activation of the ERK1/2 pathway in prostate cancer cells. [160]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
Genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies HNRNPL as a prostate cancer dependency regulating RNA splicing. [161]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
Protecting PTEN in the Nucleus. [162]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
Targeted delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 to prostate cancer by modified gRNA using a flexible aptamer-cationic liposome. [163]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
RNase L is a negative regulator of cell migration [164]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout of NANOG and NANOGP8 decreases the malignant potential of prostate cancer cells. [130]	CRISPR-Cas9 was used to knock out.

riophage infects bacteria, bacteria recognize the PAM (protospacer adjacent motif) sequence that belongs to bacteriophage via RNA. When bacteriophage infects bacteria, bacteria recognize the PAM sequence that belongs to bacteriophage via RNA. Consequently, the PAM region is cut by a cas enzyme showing endonuclease property. Information of the cutted region is attached above-mentioned among palindromic repeats. Thus, bacterium gains immunity memory against that virus. Pathogen property of the virus disappears with cutting the PAM region.[145,148]

The double-strand break occurs in target DNA. Because there is no compensation for this situation, the virus loses its function. Scientists have developed applications, such as gene silencing [with double-strand break] (knock out) and gene splice [with a donor constituting homology to target DNA sequence] (knock-in) using this property of the system. Thus, the CRISPR-Cas system is an inspiration for diagnosis and treatment in hereditary diseases, such as cancer. Consequently, change of arrays, such as insertion and deletion, in the gene region which they want to work is possible.[149,150] In Table 6, studies of PC benefited from the system of CRISPR-Cas are shown.

Conclusion

Current statistics show that approximately one in nine men is diagnosed with PC during their lifetime. Although PC can be a severe disease, luckily, most men diagnosed with PC do not die of PC. More than 2.9 million men in the United States who have been diagnosed with PC at some point are still alive today.

Genetic mutations are known to give rise to cancer. The epigenetic mechanisms which increase importance day by day and affect the structure of DNA play an important role in the pathogenesis of cancer.

As we understand the epigenetic mechanisms of PC better, we better modelling, which becomes a success in treatment will be.

miRNAs play a role in the epigenetic mechanism of cancer. When considering studies, we are clearly seen an important role in the therapeutic applications for PC.

Studies on the pathogenesis of the disease can be summarized in two steps as follows:

- a. Modelling to better understand the pathogenesis of the disease.
- b. Design of the therapeutic studies with different options for the treatment of the disease in consideration of the modelling.

The information which we have on the effects of

epigenetic mechanisms involved in the progress of cancer is not yet at the desired level.

In particular, the use of miRNAs as a biomarker for therapies that are developed based on affecting epigenetic mechanisms is far away from the desired level. Thus, cohort studies that include genomic and epigenetic analyses are needed.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial Support: No financial support has been used for this study.

References

1. Maitland NJ. Stem Cells in the Normal and Malignant Prostate. In: Tindall DJ, editor. Prostate Cancer–Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Genetics. New York: Springer; 2013. p. 3–41.
2. Capasso LL. Antiquity of cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2005;113(1):2–13.
3. Lilja H, Ulmert D, Vickers AJ. Prostate-specific antigen and prostate cancer: prediction, detection and monitoring. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2008;8(4):268–78.
4. Borgoño CA, Diamandis EP. The emerging roles of human tissue kallikreins in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004;4(11):876–90.
5. Shaw JL, Diamandis EP. Distribution of 15 human kallikreins in tissues and biological fluids. *Clin Chem* 2007;53(8):1423–32.
6. Wang MC, Valenzuela LA, Murphy GP, Chu TM. Purification of a human prostate specific antigen. *Invest Urol* 1979;17(2):159–63.
7. Lilja H, Oldbring J, Rannevik G, Laurell CB. Seminal vesicle-secreted proteins and their reactions during gelation and liquefaction of human semen. *J Clin Invest* 1987;80(2):281–5.
8. Gupta N, Sudhakar DVS, Gangwar PK, Sankhwar SN, Gupta NJ, Chakraborty B, et al. Mutations in the prostate specific antigen (PSA/KLK3) correlate with male infertility. *Sci Rep* 2017;7(1):11225.
9. Emami N, Deperthes D, Malm J, Diamandis EP. Major role of human KLK14 in seminal clot liquefaction. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283(28):19561–9.
10. Frame FM, Maitland NJ. Cancer stem cells, models of study and implications of therapy resistance mechanisms. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2011;720:105–18.
11. Greenberg R. Does prostate cancer represent more than one cancer? In: Prostate Cancer: Science and Clinical Practice. Mydlo JH, Godec CJ, editors. 1st edition. San Diego: Academic Press; 2003. p. 29–34.

12. Robinson EJ, Neal DE, Collins AT. Basal cells are progenitors of luminal cells in primary cultures of differentiating human prostatic epithelium. *Prostate* 1998;37(3):149–60.
13. Isaacs JT, Coffey DS. Etiology and disease process of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Prostate Suppl* 1989;2:33–50.
14. Richardson GD, Robson CN, Lang SH, Neal DE, Maitland NJ, Collins AT. CD133, a novel marker for human prostatic epithelial stem cells. *J Cell Sci* 2004;117(Pt 16):3539–45.
15. Demichelis F, Stanford JL. Genetic predisposition to prostate cancer: Update and future perspectives. *Urol Oncol* 2015;33(2):75–84.
16. Swierczynski S, Klieser E, Illig R, Alinger-Scharinger B, Kiesslich T, Neureiter D. Histone deacetylation meets miRNA: epigenetics and post-transcriptional regulation in cancer and chronic diseases. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2015;15(5):651–64.
17. Bacalini MG, Friso S, Olivieri F, Pirazzini C, Giuliani C, Capri M, et al. Present and future of anti-ageing epigenetic diets. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2014;136-137:101–15.
18. Denis H, Ndlovu MN, Fuks F. Regulation of mammalian DNA methyltransferases: a route to new mechanisms. *EMBO Rep* 2011;12(7):647–56.
19. Eckhardt F, Lewin J, Cortese R, Rakyan VK, Attwood J, Burger M, et al. DNA methylation profiling of human chromosomes 6, 20 and 22. *Nat Genet* 2006;38(12):1378–85.
20. Singh PK, Campbell MJ. The Interactions of microRNA and Epigenetic Modifications in Prostate Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2013;5(3):998–1019.
21. Bishop KS, Ferguson LR. The interaction between epigenetics, nutrition and the development of cancer. *Nutrients* 2015;7(2):922–47.
22. Holliday R. Epigenetics: a historical overview. *Epigenetics* 2006;1(2):76–80.
23. Theodore SC, Davis M, Zhao F, Wang H, Chen D, Rhim J, et al. MicroRNA profiling of novel African American and Caucasian Prostate Cancer cell lines reveals a reciprocal regulatory relationship of miR-152 and DNA methyltransferase 1. *Oncotarget* 2014;5(11):3512–25.
24. Wang YL, Wu S, Jiang B, Yin FF, Zheng SS, Hou SC. Role of MicroRNAs in prostate cancer pathogenesis. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2015;13(4):260–70.
25. Peterson CL, Laniel MA. Histones and histone modifications. *Curr Biol* 2004;14(14):R546–51.
26. Narlikar GJ, Fan HY, Kingston RE. Cooperation between complexes that regulate chromatin structure and transcription. *Cell* 2002;108(4):475–87.
27. Fenrick R, Hiebert SW. Role of histone deacetylases in acute leukemia. *J Cell Biochem Suppl* 1998;30-31:194–202.
28. Lakshmaiah KC, Jacob LA, Aparna S, Lokanatha D, Saldanha SC. Epigenetic therapy of cancer with histone deacetylase inhibitors. *J Cancer Res Ther* 2014;10(3):469–78.
29. Noonan EJ, Place RF, Pookot D, Basak S, Whitson JM, Hirata H, et al. miR-449a targets HDAC-1 and induces growth arrest in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 2009;28(14):1714–24.
30. Eeles RA, Al Olama AA, Benlloch S, Saunders EJ, Leongamornlert DA, Tymrakiewicz M, et al. Identification of 23 new prostate cancer susceptibility loci using the iCOGS custom genotyping array. *Nat Genet* 2013;45(4):385–91, 391e1–2.
31. Amin Al Olama A, Kote-Jarai Z, Schumacher FR, Wiklund F, Berndt SI, Benlloch S, et al. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies to identify prostate cancer susceptibility loci associated with aggressive and non-aggressive disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 2013;22(2):408–15.
32. Fitzgerald LM, Kwon EM, Conomos MP, Kolb S, Holt S, Levine D, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a genetic variant associated with risk for more aggressive prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20(6):1196–203.
33. Grasso CS, Wu YM, Robinson DR, Cao X, Dhanasekaran SM, Khan AP, et al. The mutational landscape of lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Nature* 2012;487(7406):239–43.
34. Taplin SH, Barlow W, Urban N, Mandelson MT, Timlin DJ, Ichikawa L, et al. Stage, age, comorbidity, and direct costs of colon, prostate, and breast cancer care. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995;87(6):417–26.
35. Visakorpi T, Hyytinen E, Koivisto P, Tanner M, Keinänen R, Palmberg C, et al. In vivo amplification of the androgen receptor gene and progression of human prostate cancer. *Nat Genet* 1995;9(4):401–6.
36. Pritchard CC, Mateo J, Walsh MF, De Sarkar N, Abida W, Beltran H, et al. Inherited DNA-Repair Gene Mutations in Men with Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375(5):443–53.
37. Fraser M, Sabelnykova VY, Yamaguchi TN, Heisler LE, Livingstone J, Huang V, et al. Genomic hallmarks of localized, non-indolent prostate cancer. *Nature* 2017;541(7637):359–64.
38. Mateo J, Carreira S, Sandhu S, Miranda S, Mossop H, Perez-Lopez R, et al. DNA-Repair Defects and Olaparib in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373(18):1697–708.
39. Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu YM, Schultz N, Lonigro RJ, Mosquera JM, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. *Cell* 2015;161(5):1215–28.

40. Barbieri CE, Baca SC, Lawrence MS, Demichelis F, Blattner M, Theurillat JP, et al. Exome sequencing identifies recurrent SPOP, FOXA1 and MED12 mutations in prostate cancer. *Nat Genet* 2012;44(6):685–9.
41. Burkhardt L, Fuchs S, Krohn A, Masser S, Mader M, Kluth M, et al. CHD1 is a 5q21 tumor suppressor required for ERG rearrangement in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2013;73(9):2795–805.
42. Zhao D, Lu X, Wang G, Lan Z, Liao W, Li J, et al. Synthetic essentiality of chromatin re-modelling factor CHD1 in PTEN-deficient cancer. *Nature* 2017;542(7642):484–8.
43. Bose R, Karthaus WR, Armenia J, Abida W, Iaquinta PJ, Zhang Z, et al. ERF mutations reveal a balance of ETS factors controlling prostate oncogenesis. *Nature* 2017;546(7660):671–5.
44. Huang FW, Mosquera JM, Garofalo A, Oh C, Baco M, Amin-Mansour A, et al. Exome sequencing of African-American prostate cancer reveals loss-of-function ERF mutations. *Cancer Discov* 2017;7(9):973–83.
45. Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, Dhanasekaran SM, Mehra R, Sun XW, et al. Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science* 2005;310(5748):644–8.
46. Sizemore GM, Pitarresi JR, Balakrishnan S, Ostrowski MC. The ETS family of oncogenic transcription factors in solid tumours. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2017;17(6):337–51.
47. Xu K, Wu ZJ, Groner AC, He HH, Cai C, Lis RT, et al. EZH2 oncogenic activity in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells is Polycomb-independent. *Science* 2012;338(6113):1465–9.
48. Zhang C, Wang L, Wu D, Chen H, Chen Z, Thomas-Ahner JM, et al. Definition of a FoxA1 Cistrome that is crucial for G1 to S-phase cell-cycle transit in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2011;71(21):6738–48.
49. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. The Molecular Taxonomy of Primary Prostate Cancer. *Cell* 2015;163(4):1011–25.
50. Malik R, Khan AP, Asangani IA, Cieslik M, Prensner JR, Wang X, et al. Targeting the MLL complex in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Nat Med* 2015;21(4):344–52.
51. Beltran H, Prandi D, Mosquera JM, Benelli M, Puca L, Cyrta J, et al. Divergent clonal evolution of castration-resistant neuroendocrine prostate cancer. *Nat Med* 2016;22(3):298–305.
52. Sehrawat A, Gao L, Wang Y, Bankhead A 3rd, McWeeney SK, King CJ, et al. LSD1 activates a lethal prostate cancer gene network independently of its demethylase function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018;115(18):E4179–88.
53. Fan L, Zhang F, Xu S, Cui X, Hussain A, Fazli L, et al. Histone demethylase JMJD1A promotes alternative splicing of AR variant 7 (AR-V7) in prostate cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018;115(20):E4584–93.
54. Beltran H, Rickman DS, Park K, Chae SS, Sboner A, MacDonald TY, et al. Molecular characterization of neuroendocrine prostate cancer and identification of new drug targets. *Cancer Discov* 2011;1(6):487–95.
55. Dardenne E, Beltran H, Benelli M, Gayvert K, Berger A, Puca L, et al. N-Myc Induces an EZH2-Mediated Transcriptional Program Driving Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2016;30(4):563–77.
56. Lee JK, Phillips JW, Smith BA, Park JW, Stoyanova T, McCaffrey EF, et al. N-Myc Drives Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer Initiated from Human Prostate Epithelial Cells. *Cancer Cell* 2016;29(4):536–47.
57. Hodgson MC, Astapova I, Cheng S, Lee LJ, Verhoeven MC, Choi E, et al. The androgen receptor recruits nuclear receptor CoRepressor (N-CoR) in the presence of mifepristone via its N and C termini revealing a novel molecular mechanism for androgen receptor antagonists. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280(8):6511–9.
58. Taylor BS, Schultz N, Hieronymus H, Gopalan A, Xiao Y, Carver BS, et al. Integrative genomic profiling of human prostate cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2010;18(1):11–22.
59. He WW, Scivolino PJ, Wing J, Augustus M, Hudson P, Meissner PS, et al. A novel human prostate-specific, androgen-regulated homeobox gene (NKX3.1) that maps to 8p21, a region frequently deleted in prostate cancer. *Genomics* 1997;43(1):69–77.
60. Bhatia-Gaur R, Donjacour AA, Scivolino PJ, Kim M, Desai N, Young P, et al. Roles for Nkx3.1 in prostate development and cancer. *Genes Dev* 1999;13(8):966–77.
61. Wang S, Gao J, Lei Q, Rozengurt N, Pritchard C, Jiao J, et al. Prostate-specific deletion of the murine Pten tumor suppressor gene leads to metastatic prostate cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2003;4(3):209–21.
62. Ku SY, Rosario S, Wang Y, Mu P, Seshadri M, Goodrich ZW, et al. Rb1 and Trp53 cooperate to suppress prostate cancer lineage plasticity, metastasis, and antiandrogen resistance. *Science* 2017;355(6320):78–83.
63. Ding Z, Wu CJ, Chu GC, Xiao Y, Ho D, Zhang J, et al. SMAD4-dependent barrier constrains prostate cancer growth and metastatic progression. *Nature* 2011;470(7333):269–73.
64. Wang G, Lu X, Dey P, Deng P, Wu CC, Jiang S, et al. Targeting YAP-Dependent MDSC Infiltration Impairs Tumor Progression. *Cancer Discov* 2016;6(1):80–95.
65. Theurillat JP, Udeshi ND, Errington WJ, Svinkina T, Baca SC, Pop M, et al. Prostate cancer. Ubiquity-lope analysis identifies dysregulation of effector

- substrates in SPOP-mutant prostate cancer. *Science* 2014;346(6205):85–89.
66. Blattner M, Liu D, Robinson BD, Huang D, Poliakov A, Gao D, et al. SPOP Mutation Drives Prostate Tumorigenesis In Vivo through Coordinate Regulation of PI3K/mTOR and AR Signaling. *Cancer Cell* 2017;31(3):436–51.
 67. Mu P, Zhang Z, Benelli M, Karthaus WR, Hoover E, Chen CC, et al. SOX2 promotes lineage plasticity and antiandrogen resistance in TP53- and RB1-deficient prostate cancer. *Science* 2017;355(6320):84–8.
 68. Hellawell GO, Brewster SF. Growth factors and their receptors in prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2002;89(3):230–40.
 69. Jorda M, Morales A, Ghorab Z, Fernandez G, Nadji M, Block N. Her2 expression in prostatic cancer: a comparison with mammary carcinoma. *J Urol* 2002;168(4 Pt 1):1412–4.
 70. Varambally S, Dhanasekaran SM, Zhou M, Barrette TR, Kumar-Sinha C, Sanda MG, et al. The polycomb group protein EZH2 is involved in progression of prostate cancer. *Nature* 2002;419(6907):624–9.
 71. Taneja SS, Ha S, Garabedian MJ. Androgen stimulated cellular proliferation in the human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP is associated with reduced retinoblastoma protein expression. *J Cell Biochem* 2001;84(1):188–99.
 72. Bálint E E, Vousden KH. Activation and activities of the p53 tumour suppressor protein. *Br J Cancer* 2001;85(12):1813–23.
 73. Chappell WH, Lehmann BD, Terrian DM, Abrams SL, Steelman LS, McCubrey JA. p53 expression controls prostate cancer sensitivity to chemotherapy and the MDM2 inhibitor Nutlin-3. *Cell Cycle* 2012;11(24):4579–88.
 74. Taplin ME. Androgen receptor: role and novel therapeutic prospects in prostate cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2008;8(9):1495–508.
 75. Takai D, Jones PA. Comprehensive analysis of CpG islands in human chromosomes 21 and 22. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99(6):3740–5.
 76. Gravina GL, Marampon F, Di Staso M, Bonfili P, Viturini A, Jannini EA, et al. 5-Azacitidine restores and amplifies the bicalutamide response on preclinical models of androgen receptor expressing or deficient prostate tumors. *Prostate* 2010;70(11):1166–78.
 77. Urbanucci A, Sahu B, Seppälä J, Larjo A, Latonen LM, Waltering KK, et al. Overexpression of androgen receptor enhances the binding of the receptor to the chromatin in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 2012;31(17):2153–63.
 78. Wahid F, Shehzad A, Khan T, Kim YY. MicroRNAs: synthesis, mechanism, function, and recent clinical trials. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010;1803(11):1231–43.
 79. Yang Y, Guo JX, Shao ZQ. miR-21 targets and inhibits tumor suppressor gene PTEN to promote prostate cancer cell proliferation and invasion: An experimental study. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2017;10(1):87–91.
 80. Sheng WZ, Chen YS, Tu CT, He J, Zhang B, Gao WD. MicroRNA-21 promotes phosphatase gene and protein kinase B/phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase expression in colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22(24):5532–9.
 81. Fragni M, Bonini SA, Bettinsoli P, Bodei S, Generali D, Bottini A, et al. The miR-21/PTEN/Akt signaling pathway is involved in the anti-tumoral effects of zoledronic acid in human breast cancer cell lines. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 2016;389(5):529–38.
 82. Bonci D, Coppola V, Patrizii M, Addario A, Cannistraci A, Francescangeli F, et al. A microRNA code for prostate cancer metastasis. *Oncogene* 2016;35(9):1180–92.
 83. Chen WX, Hu Q, Qiu MT, Zhong SL, Xu JJ, Tang JH, et al. miR-221/222: promising biomarkers for breast cancer. *Tumour Biol* 2013;34(3):1361–70.
 84. Hao J, Zhang C, Zhang A, Wang K, Jia Z, Wang G, et al. miR-221/222 is the regulator of Cx43 expression in human glioblastoma cells. *Oncol Rep* 2012;27(5):1504–10.
 85. Jikuzono T, Kawamoto M, Yoshitake H, Kikuchi K, Akasu H, Ishikawa H, et al. The miR-221/222 cluster, miR-10b and miR-92a are highly upregulated in metastatic minimally invasive follicular thyroid carcinoma. *Int J Oncol* 2013;42(6):1858–68.
 86. Sun T, Wang Q, Balk S, Brown M, Lee GS, Kantoff P. The role of microRNA-221 and microRNA-222 in androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res* 2009;69(8):3356–63.
 87. Yang X, Yang Y, Gan R, Zhao L, Li W, Zhou H, et al. Down-regulation of mir-221 and mir-222 restrain prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration that is partly mediated by activation of SIRT1. *PLoS One* 2014;9(6):e98833.
 88. Matin F, Jeet V, Moya L, Selth LA, Chambers S; Australian Prostate Cancer BioResource, et al. A Plasma Biomarker Panel of Four MicroRNAs for the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer. *Sci Rep* 2018;8(1):6653.
 89. Bond DR, Naudin C, Carroll AP, Goldie BJ, Brzozowski JS, Jankowski HM, et al. miR-518f-5p decreases tetraspanin CD9 protein levels and differentially affects non-tumorigenic prostate and prostate cancer cell migration and adhesion. *Oncotarget* 2017;9(2):1980–91.
 90. Penson RT, Oliva E, Skates SJ, Glyptis T, Fuller AF Jr, Goodman A, et al. Expression of multidrug re-

- sistance-1 protein inversely correlates with paclitaxel response and survival in ovarian cancer patients: a study in serial samples. *Gynecol Oncol* 2004;93(1):98–106.
91. He L, He X, Lim LP, de Stanchina E, Xuan Z, Liang Y, et al. A microRNA component of the p53 tumour suppressor network. *Nature* 2007;447(7148):1130–4.
92. Casanova-Salas I, Rubio-Briones J, Fernández-Serra A, López-Guerrero JA. miRNAs as biomarkers in prostate cancer. *Clin Transl Oncol* 2012;14(11):803–11.
93. Enomoto Y, Kitaura J, Hatakeyama K, Watanuki J, Akasaka T, Kato N, et al. Eμ/miR-125b transgenic mice develop lethal B-cell malignancies. *Leukemia* 2011;25(12):1849–56.
94. Feng B, Wang R, Chen LB. Review of miR-200b and cancer chemosensitivity. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2012;66(6):397–402.
95. Lu Z, Liu M, Stribinskis V, Klinge CM, Ramos KS, Colburn NH, et al. MicroRNA-21 promotes cell transformation by targeting the programmed cell death 4 gene. *Oncogene* 2008;27(31):4373–9.
96. Porkka KP, Pfeiffer MJ, Waltering KK, Vessella RL, Tammela TL, Visakorpi T. MicroRNA expression profiling in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2007;67(13):6130–5.
97. Dahiya R, McCarville J, Lee C, Hu W, Kaur G, Carroll P, et al. Deletion of chromosome 11p15, p12, q22, q23-24 loci in human prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1997;72(2):283–8.
98. Ellsworth RE, Vertrees A, Love B, Hooke JA, Ellsworth DL, Shriver CD. Chromosomal alterations associated with the transition from in situ to invasive breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2008;15(9):2519–25.
99. Rasio D, Negrini M, Manenti G, Dragani TA, Croce CM. Loss of heterozygosity at chromosome 11q in lung adenocarcinoma: identification of three independent regions. *Cancer Res* 1995;55(18):3988–91.
100. Majid S, Dar AA, Saini S, Yamamura S, Hirata H, Tanaka Y, et al. MicroRNA-205-directed transcriptional activation of tumor suppressor genes in prostate cancer. *Cancer* 2010;116(24):5637–49.
101. Fabbri M, Garzon R, Cimmino A, Liu Z, Zaneni N, Callegari E, et al. MicroRNA-29 family reverts aberrant methylation in lung cancer by targeting DNA methyltransferases 3A and 3B. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104(40):15805–10.
102. Chen Y, Zaman MS, Deng G, Majid S, Saini S, Liu J, et al. MicroRNAs 221/222 and genistein-mediated regulation of ARHI tumor suppressor gene in prostate cancer. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2011;4(1):76–86.
103. Saini S, Majid S, Shahryari V, Arora S, Yamamura S, Chang I, et al. miRNA-708 control of CD44(+) prostate cancer-initiating cells. *Cancer Res* 2012;72(14):3618–30.
104. Brase JC, Johannes M, Schlomm T, Fälth M, Haese A, Steuber T, et al. Circulating miRNAs are correlated with tumor progression in prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2011;128(3):608–16.
105. Mahn R, Heukamp LC, Rogenhofer S, von Ruecker A, Müller SC, Ellinger J. Circulating microRNAs (miRNA) in serum of patients with prostate cancer. *Urology* 2011;77(5):1265.e9–16.
106. Nadiminty N, Tummala R, Lou W, Zhu Y, Shi XB, Xou JX, et al. MicroRNA let-7c is downregulated in prostate cancer and suppresses prostate cancer growth. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7(3):e32832.
107. Beck J, Urnovitz HB, Riggert J, Clerici M, Schütz E. Profile of the circulating DNA in apparently healthy individuals. *Clin Chem* 2009;55(4):730–8.
108. Schütz E, Akbari MR, Beck J, Urnovitz H, Zhang WW, Bornemann-Kolatzki K, et al. Chromosomal instability in cell-free DNA is a serum biomarker for prostate cancer. *Clin Chem* 2015;61(1):239–48.
109. Liu QY, Rubin MA, Omene C, Lederman S, Stein CA. Fas ligand is constitutively secreted by prostate cancer cells in vitro. *Clin Cancer Res* 1998;4(7):1803–11.
110. Hyer ML, Voelkel-Johnson C, Rubinchik S, Dong J, Norris JS. Intracellular Fas ligand expression causes Fas-mediated apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells resistant to monoclonal antibody-induced apoptosis. *Mol Ther* 2000;2(4):348–58.
111. Nathwani AC, Tuddenham EG, Rangarajan S, Rosales C, McIntosh J, Linch DC, et al. Adenovirus-associated virus vector-mediated gene transfer in hemophilia B. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(25):2357–65.
112. Simonelli F, Maguire AM, Testa F, Pierce EA, Mingozzi F, Bannicelli JL, et al. Gene therapy for Leber's congenital amaurosis is safe and effective through 1.5 years after vector administration. *Mol Ther* 2010;18(3):643–50.
113. Izquierdo M. Short interfering RNAs as a tool for cancer gene therapy. *Cancer Gene Ther* 2005;12(3):217–27.
114. Li J, Wang Y, Zhu Y, Oupický D. Recent advances in delivery of drug-nucleic acid combinations for cancer treatment. *J Control Release* 2013;172(2):589–600.
115. Nastiuk KL, Krolewski JJ. Opportunities and challenges in combination gene cancer therapy. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2016;98:35–40.
116. Ding H, Sun Y, Hou Y, Li L. Effects of INPP4B gene transfection combined with PARP inhibitor on castration therapy-resistant prostate cancer cell line, PC3. *Urol Oncol* 2014;32(5):720–6.
117. Marangoni K, Neves AF, Rocha RM, Faria PR, Alves PT, Souza AG, et al. Prostate-specific RNA aptamer:

- promising nucleic acid antibody-like cancer detection. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:12090.
118. Mu P, Nagahara S, Makita N, Tarumi Y, Kadomatsu K, Takei Y. Systemic delivery of siRNA specific to tumor mediated by atelocollagen: combined therapy using siRNA targeting Bcl-xL and cisplatin against prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2009;125(12):2978–90.
119. Kaikkonen MU, Ylä-Herttuala S, Airene KJ. How to avoid complement attack in baculovirus-mediated gene delivery. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2011;107 Suppl:S71–9.
120. Fujita T, Satoh T, Timme TL, Hirayama T, Zhu JX, Kusaka N, et al. Combined therapeutic effects of adenoviral vector-mediated GLIPR1 gene therapy and radiotherapy in prostate and bladder cancer models. *Urol Oncol* 2014;32(2):92–100.
121. Arafat W, Zhou T, Naoum GE, Buchsbaum DJ. Targeted radiotherapy potentiates the cytotoxicity of a novel anti-human DR5 monoclonal antibody and the adenovirus encoding soluble TRAIL in prostate cancer. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* 2015;27(4):205–15.
122. Ergün S. In silico analysis of biomarker potentials of miRNA-mediated ceRNAs in prostate cancer. *Dicle Med J* 2018;45(4):415–29.
123. Nielsen CB, Shomron N, Sandberg R, Hornstein E, Kitzman J, Burge CB. Determinants of targeting by endogenous and exogenous microRNAs and siRNAs. *RNA* 2007;13(11):1894–910.
124. Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 2005;120(1):15–20.
125. Ebert MS, Neilson JR, Sharp PA. MicroRNA sponges: competitive inhibitors of small RNAs in mammalian cells. *Nat Methods* 2007;4(9):721–6.
126. Deiters A. Small molecule modifiers of the microRNA and RNA interference pathway. *AAPS J* 2010;12(1):51–60.
127. Du C, Liu C, Kang J, Zhao G, Ye Z, Huang S, et al. MicroRNA miR-326 regulates TH-17 differentiation and is associated with the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Nat Immunol* 2009;10(12):1252–9.
128. Poliseno L, Marranci A, Pandolfi PP. Pseudogenes in Human Cancer. *Front Med* 2015;2:68.
129. Karreth FA, Reschke M, Ruocco A, Ng C, Chapuy B, Léopold V, et al. The BRAF pseudogene functions as a competitive endogenous RNA and induces lymphoma in vivo. *Cell* 2015;161(2):319–32.
130. Kawamura N, Nimura K, Nagano H, Yamaguchi S, Nonomura N, Kaneda Y. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout of NANOG and NANOGP8 decreases the malignant potential of prostate cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 2015;6(26):22361–74.
131. Chen Z, Penet MF, Krishnamachary B, Banerjee SR, Pomper MG, Bhujwala ZM. PSMA-specific theranostic nanoplex for combination of TRAIL gene and 5-FC prodrug therapy of prostate cancer. *Biomaterials* 2016;80:57–67.
132. Kong D, Heath E, Chen W, Cher ML, Powell I, Heilbrun L, et al. Loss of let-7 up-regulates EZH2 in prostate cancer consistent with the acquisition of cancer stem cell signatures that are attenuated by BR-DIM. *PLoS One* 2012;7(3):e33729.
133. Chudley L, McCann K, Mander A, Tjelle T, Campos-Perez J, Godeseth R, et al. DNA fusion-gene vaccination in patients with prostate cancer induces high-frequency CD8(+) T-cell responses and increases PSA doubling time. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2012;61(11):2161–70.
134. Fitzgerald KA, Guo J, Tierney EG, Curtin CM, Malhotra M, Darcy R, et al. The use of collagen-based scaffolds to simulate prostate cancer bone metastases with potential for evaluating delivery of nanoparticulate gene therapeutics. *Biomaterials* 2015;66:53–66.
135. Souza AG, Marangoni K, Fujimura PT, Alves PT, Silva MJ, Bastos VA, et al. 3D Cell-SELEX: Development of RNA aptamers as molecular probes for PC-3 tumor cell line. *Exp Cell Res* 2016;341(2):147–56.
136. Marks PA, Miller T, Richon VM. Histone deacetylases. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2003;3(4):344–51.
137. Rosato RR, Grant S. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: insights into mechanisms of lethality. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2005;9(4):809–24.
138. Hrzenjak A, Moinfar F, Kremser ML, Strohmeier B, Staber PB, Zatloukal K, et al. Valproate inhibition of histone deacetylase 2 affects differentiation and decreases proliferation of endometrial stromal sarcoma cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2006;5(9):2203–10.
139. Taddei A, Roche D, Bickmore WA, Almouzni G. The effects of histone deacetylase inhibitors on heterochromatin: implications for anticancer therapy? *EMBO Rep* 2005;6(6):520–4.
140. Bernhard D, Ausserlechner MJ, Tonko M, Löffler M, Hartmann BL, Csordas A, et al. Apoptosis induced by the histone deacetylase inhibitor sodium butyrate in human leukemic lymphoblasts. *FASEB J* 1999;13(14):1991–2001.
141. Ali SR, Humphreys KJ, McKinnon RA, Michael MZ. Impact of Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors on microRNA Expression and Cancer Therapy: A Review. *Drug Dev Res* 2015;76(6):296–317.
142. Meidhof S, Brabletz S, Lehmann W, Preca BT, Mock K, Ruh M, et al. ZEB1-associated drug resistance in cancer cells is reversed by the class I HDAC inhibitor mocetinostat. *EMBO Mol Med* 2015;7(6):831–47.

143. Rauhala HE, Jalava SE, Isotalo J, Bracken H, Lehmusvaara S, Tammela TL, et al. miR-193b is an epigenetically regulated putative tumor suppressor in prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2010;127(6):1363–72.
144. Zaman MS, Chen Y, Deng G, Shahryari V, Suh SO, Saini S, et al. The functional significance of microRNA-145 in prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2010;103(2):256–64.
145. Rath D, Amlinger L, Rath A, Lundgren M. The CRISPR-Cas immune system: biology, mechanisms and applications. *Biochimie* 2015;117:119–28.
146. Jansen R, van Embden JD, Gaastra W, Schouls LM. Identification of a novel family of sequence repeats among prokaryotes. *OMICS* 2002;6(1):23–33.
147. Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, et al. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* 2007;315(5819):1709–12.
148. Bolotin A, Quinquis B, Sorokin A, Ehrlich SD. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindrome repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers of extrachromosomal origin. *Microbiology* 2005;151(Pt 8):2551–61.
149. Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* 2013;339(6121):819–23.
150. Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, DiCarlo JE, et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* 2013;339(6121):823–6.
151. Yin Y, Xu L, Chang Y, Zeng T, Chen X, Wang A, et al. N-Myc promotes therapeutic resistance development of neuroendocrine prostate cancer by differentially regulating miR-421/ATM pathway. *Mol Cancer* 2019;18(1):11.
152. Guo X, Dean A. CRISPR/Cas9 offers a new tool for studying the role of chromatin architecture in disease pathogenesis. *Genome Biol* 2018; 6;19(1):185.
153. Takao A, Yoshikawa K, Karnan S, Ota A, Uemura H, De Velasco MA, Kura, et al. Generation of PTEN knockout (/) murine prostate cancer cells using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and comprehensive gene expression profiling. *Oncol Rep* 2018;40(5):2455–66.
154. Albayrak G, Konac E, Ugras Dikmen A, Bilen CY. FOXA1 knock-out via CRISPR/Cas9 altered Casp-9, Bax, CCND1, CDK4, and fibronectin expressions in LNCaP cells. *Exp Biol Med* (Maywood) 2018;243(12):990–4.
155. Takeda DY, Spisák S, Seo JH, Bell C, O'Connor E, Korthauer K, et al. A Somatic Acquired Enhancer of the Androgen Receptor Is a Noncoding Driver in Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Cell* 2018;174(2):422–32. e13.
156. Yu G, Cheng CJ, Lin SC, Lee YC, Frigo DE, Yu-Lee LY, et al. Organelle-Derived Acetyl-CoA Promotes Prostate Cancer Cell Survival, Migration, and Metastasis via Activation of Calmodulin Kinase II. *Cancer Res* 2018;78(10):2490–502.
157. Sorrentino C, Ciummo SL, Cipollone G, Caputo S, Bellone M, Di Carlo E, et al. Interleukin-30/IL27p28 Shapes Prostate Cancer Stem-like Cell Behavior and Is Critical for Tumor Onset and Metastasis. *Cancer Res* 2018;78(10):2654–68.
158. Xu Y, Xu M, Zhang M, Tan J, Su Z, Chen X, et al. Knocking-out of HIF1 α gene by CRISPR/cas9 inhibits proliferation and invasiveness of prostate cancer DU145 cells. [Article in Chinese]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi* 2018;35(2):160–4.
159. Wei C, Wang F, Liu W, Zhao W, Yang Y, Li K, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of the androgen receptor suppresses the growth of LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. *Mol Med Rep* 2018;17(2):2901–6.
160. Lopez-Guerrero AM, Pascual-Caro C, Martin-Romero FJ, Pozo-Guisado E. Store-operated calcium entry is dispensable for the activation of ERK1/2 pathway in prostate cancer cells. *Cell Signal* 2017;40:44–52.
161. Fei T, Chen Y, Xiao T, Li W, Cato L, Zhang P, et al. Genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies HNRNPL as a prostate cancer dependency regulating RNA splicing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017;114(26):5207–15.
162. Protecting PTEN in the Nucleus. *Cancer Discov* 2017;7(4):OF1.
163. Zhen S, Takahashi Y, Narita S, Yang YC, Li X. Targeted delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 to prostate cancer by modified gRNA using a flexible aptamer-cationic liposome. *Oncotarget* 2017;8(6):9375–87.
164. Banerjee S, Li G, Li Y, Gaughan C, Baskar D, Parker Y, et al. RNase L is a negative regulator of cell migration. *Oncotarget* 2015;6(42):44360–72.