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Introduction

Bone is a frequent site of metastases in advanced-stage cancer and approximately half of the new cancer patients can metastasize to the bone.[1] In adult metastatic patients, bone metastases rank third after lung and liver metastases.[2] Post-mortem studies have shown that bone metastases are present in approximately 70% of the patients with breast and prostate cancer and 20-30% of those with lung and gastrointestinal cancer.[3] In proportion to the increased prevalence of bone metastases, the patients experience pain, pathological fractures, restricted mobility, hypercalcemia, spinal cord or nerve root entrapment, and an increase in the symptoms negatively affecting the quality of life.[4] While the detection of metastases is a prognostic factor, early diagnosis and optimal treatment improve the overall survival rate and quality of life in several tumors.[5] There is some evidence showing that patients who have predominantly bone metastatic disease have better survival and favorable prognosis than those with visceral and oligometastatic diseases.[6] Bone metastases might be osteolytic, osteosclerotic, or more frequently, mixed. They are most frequently localized in the vertebrae, costae, and the metaphyseal region of the long bones, which are rich in red bone marrow.[7] In the vertebrae, metastases are most frequently localized in the lumbar (52%), followed by thoracic (36%), and rarely cervical (12%).[8]

Bone scintigraphy has 78% sensitivity in the detection of bone metastases; however, its specificity rates are lower (48%) due to high false positivity rates.[9] Additional imaging techniques such as radiography, CT, or MRI might be necessary for differential diagnosis of metastases, particularly due to osteodegenerative changes. Nevertheless, the low spatial resolution of scintigraphy (approximately 1 cm) may lead to false-positive results in the detection of metastases.[10] Moreover, false-negative results may also be obtained in osteolytic lesions with a limited reactive osteoblastic reaction, such as renal cell carcinoma metastases since the activity accumulation is typically low.[11] When
bone metastases are large and diffuse, bone scintigraphy might appear usual due to the aggregating nature of the lesions. This is called “super scan” imaging. In the latter, diffuse activity increase is observed in the axial skeletal system, and it should also be considered when the kidneys, which are expected to be observed physiologically due to renal elimination, are observed with no or very low enhancement.[11]

Three-dimensional images in addition to planar bone scintigraphy, allow the single-photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) imaging of the skeletal system. The same radionuclide (99mTc-MDP) is used for the imaging; after planar whole-body imaging is performed, sectional three-dimensional images are recorded with the camera rotating 360° around the patient in certain areas limited by the camera head. SPECT is an imaging method using the emission technique, based on the activity formation, which already exists in the patient before the planar imaging, with no additional radiation dose given. SPECT images allow the visualization of three-dimensional sections, thereby better localization of the abnormal radionuclide uptake. The sensitivity and specificity of the SPECT in the detection of bone metastases are 87% and 91%, respectively.[9] Moreover, anatomical details are obtained for the determination of the localization of suspected bone lesions using hybrid devices equipped with CT components (SPECT/CT). Thereby, the low specificity of the planar imaging can be increased substantially. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that the sensitivity and specificity rates increase to 93% and 96%, respectively, with the addition of SPECT/CT to imaging in the detection of bone metastases.[12] In another meta-analysis comparing the diagnostic accuracy of the CT, SPECT, and MRG imaging, the sensitivity rates were shown to be 77.1% (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.73-0.81), 76.8% (95% CI 0.73-0.81), and 90.4% (95% CI 0.87-0.93), respectively, while the specificity rates were 83.2% (95% CI 0.80-0.86), 96.3% (95% CI 0.95-0.97), and 96.0% (95% CI 0.95-0.97), respectively.[13]

**PET imaging agents**

PET imaging can be performed using different radio-pharmaceuticals chosen, based on the primary disease.

**18F-NaF PET:** Sodium fluoride (NaF) is an osteotrophic compound. It has a similar uptake mechanism and higher first-pass extraction than 99mTcMDP used in PET imaging.[14] Regional extraction of 18F-NaF from plasma to bone is 10-fold higher in metastases compared to normal bone tissue.[15] Its major limitations include cyclotron requirement for the production of 18F and higher cost than the bone scintigraphy. Imaging performed 1-h after the injection is one of the advantages over bone scintigraphy. In their meta-analysis comparing bone SPECT and 18F-NaF PET/CT imaging, Sheikhhbahaei et al.[16] showed that the sensitivity and specificity are 90% (95% CI 0.86-0.93) and 85% (95% CI 0.80-0.90) for SPECT and 97% (95% CI 0.95-0.98) and 84% (95% CI 0.80-0.90) for 18F-NaF PET/CT, respectively. These results indicate that the contribution of 18F-NaF PET/CT to SPECT imaging is to increase its sensitivity in the detection of metastases.

**18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG):** 18F-FDG PET is functional imaging that shows the cellular biodistribution of glucose metabolism. It is a widely used radiopharmaceutical in oncology due to the greatly increased glucose metabolism of the tumor cells. While the osteoblastic response to bone destruction by tumor cells and accompanying blood flow increase is observed in the bone scintigraphy, 18F-FDG PET imaging has a higher success rate in showing lytic bone metastases.[17] The sensitivity of 18F-FDG PET/CT may vary by different histopathologies. For example, due to decreased metabolic activity in sclerotic bone metastases, bone scintigraphy has a higher sensitivity than FDG PET/CT.[11] Moreover, its other limitations include the false positivity in infections and inflammation due to the accumulation of 18F-FDG PET in metabolically active tissues. FDG PET/CT shows the bone metastases with a sensitivity of 98% and a specificity of 56%.[9]

**68Ga-prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA):** PET imaging using PSMA in patients with prostate cancer is greatly promising in the staging of the disease and recurrence assessment. Caglar et al.[18] examined the contribution of this imaging modality to bone scintigraphy. They showed that PSMA images were found to be superior to bone scintigraphy in the assessment of metastatic disease in spite of it has a higher cost than bone scintigraphy. Moreover, it has been stated that bone scintigraphy can detect bone metastases with a sensitivity of 75%, and this rate increase to 98.2% using 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT. In a study comparing 18F-FDG PET/CT and bone scintigraphy in the assessment of bone metastases in patients diagnosed with high-grade prostate cancer, it has been stated that 18F-FDG PET/CT shows the lesions with higher sensitivity and accuracy (100% vs. 78.8%; 98.7% vs. 98.2%).[19] In a meta-analysis comparing the diagnostic performance of 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT, choline PET/CT, and 18F-NaF PET/CT, MRI and bone scintigraphy, patient-based (the per-patient pooled sensitivity) sensitivity rates of the imaging modalities were found to be 0.97, 0.87, 0.96, 0.91, and
0.86, respectively and the specificity rates to be 1.00, 0.99, 0.97, 0.96, and 0.95, respectively.[20] According to the meta-analysis results, the highest patient-based sensitivity and specificity rates in the detection of bone metastases were determined by ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/CT imaging in prostate cancer. The sensitivity of ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT and MR was higher than Choline PET/CT and bone scintigraphy. The specificity of PSMA PET/CT was found to be significantly higher than bone scintigraphy. It has been accepted as a preferred agent for the evaluation of prostate cancer bone metastases and all metastatic foci, especially in the high-risk patient group.
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